



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/756,018	11/25/1996	BRIAN SEED	00786/284002	2533
21559	7590	12/19/2003	EXAMINER	
CLARK & ELBING LLP 101 FEDERAL STREET BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SM

**Notification of Non-Compliance
With 37 CFR 1.192(c)**

8-17

Application No.

08/756,018

Applicant(s)

SEED ET AL.

Examiner

G. R. Ewoldt, Ph.D.

Art Unit

1644


--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 18 August 2003 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three **TIME PERIODS**: (1) **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) **TWO MONTHS** from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. **EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4. ☒ The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6. ☐ A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
 - (a) ☐ the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
 - (b) ☐ the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

Appellant is advised that no more free extensions of time will be granted. As the instant Brief remains defective, Appellant is advised that an extension of time since the previous Notice of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192 c., (mailed 7/17/03) may be granted if a proper petition for extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136 is submitted along with the proper fee. Appellant is advised that under no conditions will extensions of time be granted after the 6 month statutory period expires on 1/17/04. Should Appellant's Brief be found defective after that time the application will become abandoned.


12/4/03
G.R. EWOLDT, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER